

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FIRST NAMED INVENTOR **FILING DATE** ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/492,170 06/19/95 ALBECKER EXAMINER WALTER J ALBECKER 35M1/0722 838 S MAY ART UN **PAPER NUMBER** CHICAGO IL 60607 350 DATE MAILED: 07/22/96 This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Responsive to communication filed on This application has been examined This action is made final. days from the date of this letter. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire _____ month(s), _ Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133 Part ! THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 2. Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. 4. Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152. 5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION _____ are pending in the application. 1. La Claims Of the above, claims ______ are withdrawn from consideration. 2. Claims have been cancelled Claims ___ Claims Claims are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. 7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes. 8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on _____ . Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are ☐ acceptable; ☐ not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948). 10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on ______ has (have) been proposed by the examiner; disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). 11. ___ The proposed drawing correction, filed _____ _____, has been ____approved; ___ disapproved (see explanation). Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has 📮 been received 🔲 not been received □ been filed in parent application, serial no. _____; filed on ____ 13. Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, presecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. 14. Other

Serial Number: 08/492170 -2-

Art Unit: 3507

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: that of figures 1A-C; that of figure 1D; that of figure 1E; that of figures 2A-D; that of figures 2E,F; that of figures 3A-C; that of figures 4A,B; that of figure 4C; and that of figure 5.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed. Serial Number: 08/492170

Art Unit: 3507

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Brown whose telephone number is (703) 308-2103.

PETER R. BROWN
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 357

prb July 18, 1996